

**Proposed Legislative Solutions**  
**RTP 3.0**

- Clarify Section 153A-316.1(a) to state that a URSD does not have to be contiguous and it may be across two counties
  - In the alternative, amend Section 153A-316.1(a) to allow URSDs management by the same contracting entity to share benefits, budgets, and accounts, and be party to a single LGC audit
- Clarify Section 153A-317.1(c) to define what is considered to “benefit” the URSD
- Clarify Section 153A-317.1(c) regarding use of URSD tax proceeds as debt service support, to match the fix to this section mentioned above, that the proceeds must be used to benefit the URSD, but the capital project does not have to be located wholly within the URSD to be considered a project that benefits the URSD. An example of this type of language is set out in Section 153A-317.
- Clarify that the Section 153A-317 and Section 153A-317.1 “benefits” and “services provided for the district” have the same meaning and include capital projects not wholly located within the RPSD/URSD unless otherwise expressly indicated.
- Amend Section 153A-317.1(a) to state that a URSD can levy taxes in order to finance, provide, or maintain for the URSD services that cities or counties are authorized by law to provide, to match the language in Section 153A-311, that a RPSD may be established to finance, provide, or maintain services that a county or city is authorized by general law to provide, finance, or maintain
- Amend Sections 153A-313 and 153-316.2 to state that, if the authorizing resolutions are silent as to which county will appoint each board member, the board member appointments will be divided among the counties proportionate to the respective size of the RSD or URSD in each county
- Amend Sections 153A-315 and 153A-316.5 to allow the URSD/RTF to get County approvals for state requirements related to procurement and construction contracts from only the County in which the land subject to the construction or procurement contract is located
- Amend Sections 153A-312, 153A-314, and 153A-316.3 to clarify that extension of RSD or URSD in one County only requires board approval from the County in which the extension is located, even if the RSD or URSD extends across multiple counties
- Amend Section 153A-317 to increase rate limits to adjust for inflation and increase of project costs since original limitation was set
- Amend Section 153A-315 to include the sentence “When a county defines a RPSD, it may designate the developer of the research and development park established

as a research and production service district in which the RPSD is located as an agent that may contract with any local government for the provision of services within the URSD.”

- Amend Section 153A-315(a) and 153-316.5(a) to clarify that an entity designated as agent to provide for services may own property necessary to provide that service, including but not limited to streets, sidewalks, parks, schools, utilities, public transportation systems. Tax revenue used to pay for or maintain that property would be expended for public purpose.
- Amend Section 153A-311 to clarify that a service may be one that any county or a city is authorized by general law to provide, finance, or maintain without jurisdictional limitations.
- Clarify Section 153A-149(c)(27) to acknowledge a greenway system could meet the definition of public transportation to the extent it supports a means of public conveyance