

**PLANNING COMMISSION WRITTEN COMMENTS****EAST CLUB AT 85 (Z2400032)****Item heard on March 11, 2025****Comments received as of April 2, 2025**

CHAIR CAMERON	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
VICE CHAIR CHAGARIS	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
CAPERS	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
CUTRIGHT	Vote: Yes
	Comments: This site makes sense for industrial uses.
CZAJKOWSKI	Vote: Yes
	Comments: This is a complicated parcel and this use is reasonable.
KOPAC	Vote: Yes
	Comments: Commercial development off Club and 85  This is an odd parcel of land that cannot be developed densely due to environmental sensitivity and is also hard to access.  With the restrictions offered by the applicant, another commercial development on this parcel seems like a reasonable use.
MACIVER	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
PIONTAK	Vote: Yes
	Comments: The site is more suited for industrial use
WOUK	Vote: Yes
	Comments: This is a very unusual parcel that seems best fit for a conservation use, like a small park. The applicant committed to 30% tree preservation, 80% of which is in the riparian buffer, as well as no disturbance of the wildlife corridor. Considering that this parcel has these important ecological elements, and is very challenging to develop, a park makes a lot of sense, particularly since there are no parks nearby (at least 2+ miles) and this area is considered disadvantaged by the Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool.