



PLANNING COMMISSION WRITTEN COMMENTS
EVALUATION AND ASSESSMENT REPORT (EAR) (A2500002)

Item heard on October 14, 2025

Comments received as of October 22, 2025

A2500002A

Evaluation and Assessment Report (EAR)

Place Type Map Change - Treyburn

CHAIR CHAGARIS	Vote: No
	Comments: place type maps included in A2500002 were voted on but the remaining portion of A2500002 was tabled until the November planning commission meeting due to needing further review
BAILEY	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
CAPERS	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
CZAJKOWSKI	Vote: No
	Comments: No written comments provided.
MONTES	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
NEUNKIRCHNER	Vote: No
	Comments: No written comments provided.
PIONTAK	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
RITCHIE	Vote: No
	Comments: No written comments provided.
WOUK	Vote: No
	Comments: We voted on each PTM separately. I voted yes for all except Attachment C, Treyburn, where I voted no. We should not be changing the UGB so soon after adopting it. We have had two private cases come before us and both were no votes, so it is important to remain consistent and maintain the UGB as it was established. The policy language was tabled until November.

A2500002B**Evaluation and Assessment Report (EAR)****Place Type Map Change – North Roxboro and Orange Factory Roads**

CHAIR CHAGARIS	Vote: Yes
	Comments: place type maps included in A2500002 were voted on but the remaining portion of A2500002 was tabled until the November planning commission meeting due to needing further review
BAILEY	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
CAPERS	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
CZAJKOWSKI	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
MONTES	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
NEUNKIRCHNER	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
PIONTAK	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
RITCHIE	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
WOUK	Vote: Yes
	Comments: We voted on each PTM separately. I voted yes for all except Attachment C, Treyburn, where I voted no. We should not be changing the UGB so soon after adopting it. We have had two private cases come before us and both were no votes, so it is important to remain consistent and maintain the UGB as it was established. The policy language was tabled until November.

A2500002E**Evaluation and Assessment Report (EAR)****Place Type Map Change – Redwood Convenience Center**

CHAIR CHAGARIS	Vote: Yes
	Comments: place type maps included in A2500002 were voted on but the remaining portion of A2500002 was tabled until the November planning commission meeting due to needing further review
BAILEY	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
CAPERS	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
CZAJKOWSKI	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
MONTES	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
NEUNKIRCHNER	Vote: No
	Comments: No written comments provided.
PIONTAK	Vote: No
	Comments: No written comments provided.
RITCHIE	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
WOUK	Vote: Yes
	Comments: We voted on each PTM separately. I voted yes for all except Attachment C, Treyburn, where I voted no. We should not be changing the UGB so soon after adopting it. We have had two private cases come before us and both were no votes, so it is important to remain consistent and maintain the UGB as it was established. The policy language was tabled until November.

A2500002F**Evaluation and Assessment Report (EAR)****Place Type Map Change – Baptist Road**

CHAIR CHAGARIS	Vote: Yes
	Comments: place type maps included in A2500002 were voted on but the remaining portion of A2500002 was tabled until the November planning commission meeting due to needing further review
BAILEY	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
CAPERS	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
CZAJKOWSKI	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
MONTES	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
NEUNKIRCHNER	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
PIONTAK	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
RITCHIE	Vote: Yes
	Comments: No written comments provided.
WOUK	Vote: Yes
	Comments: We voted on each PTM separately. I voted yes for all except Attachment C, Treyburn, where I voted no. We should not be changing the UGB so soon after adopting it. We have had two private cases come before us and both were no votes, so it is important to remain consistent and maintain the UGB as it was established. The policy language was tabled until November.